

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trudemark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO Box 1190 Alexandra, Viguna 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. Eugene Lukanidin 09/781,509 02/12/2001 12754A 6832

05/19/2003

SCULLY, SCOTT, MURPHY & PRESSER 400 Garden City Plaza Garden City, NY 11530

EXAMINER ANDRES, JANET L ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1646 DATE MAILED: 05/19/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/781,509	LUKANIDIN ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Janet L. Andres	1646
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
I HE - Externation - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a repl y within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 f	ebruary 2003 .	
2a)⊡	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.	
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	ance except for formal matter Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	rs, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊡	Claim(s) <u>4-8,10-13 and 26-29</u> is/are pending in	n the application.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊡	6). Claim(s) <u>4-6,8,10-13,26 and 27</u> is/are rejected.		
7)[-]	Claim(s) 7, 28, 29 is/are objected to.		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Applicati	on Papers	·	
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•.	
10) 🔲 🛚	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11)[] 7	he proposed drawing correction filed on		pproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
a)L	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>		
	<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	have been received in Appli	cation No
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Burnee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the action for a list</li></ol>	eau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))	-
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		
a) 15)∐ A	☐ The translation of the foreign language prove the translation of the foreign language provektion. The translation of the tra	visional application has been	received
ttachment(	s)		
) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trad O-326 (Rev.		on Summany	

Art Unit: 1646

## RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

1. Applicant's amendment filed 24 February 2003 is acknowledged. Claims 4-8, 10-13, and 26-29 are pending and under examination in this application. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code, not included in this action can be found in a prior office action.

### Claim Rejections Withdrawn

- 2. The rejection of claims 4-8 and 10-13 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as lacking written description of all Mts-1 proteins is withdrawn in response to Applicant's arguments.
- 3. The rejection of claims 4-8 and 10-13 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as lacking enablement for all Mts-1 proteins is withdrawn in response to Applicant's arguments.
- 4. The rejection of claims 4-8 and 10-13 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is withdrawn in response to Applicant's arguments.

## Claim Rejections Maintained

5. The rejection of claims 4-6, 8, 10 and 11 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by U.S. patent 5801142 is maintained for reasons of record in the office action of paper no. 7 and applied to new claims 26 and 27.

Applicant argues that there is no teaching or suggestion in the '142 patent to isolate multimers, as opposed to monomers and dimers.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but have not been found to be persuasive. The '142 patent teaches in column 38, lines 50-52 and in figure 15 that the mouse protein migrates on a gel with a molecular weight of 10-12 kD. In Figure 16 and in column 38, lines 53-58, the human protein is taught as having an apparent molecular weight of 27 kD. Since there is a difference of only 7 amino acids between the mouse and human sequences (column 9,

Application/Control Number: 09/781,509 Page 3

Art Unit: 1646

lines 37-41), it appears that what is identified in Figure 16 is actually a trimeric form of human Mts-1. Thus the '142 patent appears to teach an isolated trimeric form of Mts-1, anticipating the instant claims. That the apparent molecular weight is slightly less than three times that of the mouse protein does not indicate otherwise; migration through polyacrylamide gels is affected by the physical characteristics of the protein and the apparent molecular weights are not exact. Further, 27 kD is "about" 30 kD and is thus within the range specified by the narrowest claim, claim 5.

6. The rejection of claims 12 and 13 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over the '142 patent in view of U.S. patent 6167888.

Applicant argues that neither patent teaches multimers. However, since, as set forth above, the '142 patent teaches a human Mts-1 protein that migrates with an apparent molecular weight of three times that of the mouse protein, which has a nearly identical structure, the '142 patent does appear to teach a trimer.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 7, 28, and 29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. These claims are drawn to multimers of del-75 mutants, human del-75 mutants, and mouse proteins. Multimers of these proteins are not taught in the '142 patent and, since the patent appears to disclose a trimer of the human protein but does not state that it is a trimer, it provides no motivation for one of ordinary skill in the art to generate trimers of related proteins.

Application/Control Number: 09/781,509

Art Unit: 1646

CLAIMS 4-6, 8, 10-13, 26, AND 27 ARE REJECTED. CLAIMS 7, 28, AND 29 ARE OBJECTED TO.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janet Andres, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-0557. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, Ph.D., can be reached at (703) 308-6564. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306 or (703) 872-9307 for after final communications.

Communications via internet mail regarding this application, other than those under U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [yvonne.eyler@uspto.gov].

Application/Control Number: 09/781,509

Art Unit: 1646

Page 5

All Internet email communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark Office on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Janet Andres, Ph.D.

May 7, 2003

TOONNE EYLER, PH. L SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1606